

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

T-MOBILE USA, INC. and SPRINT
CORP.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-379-JRG-RSP
(LEAD CASE)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Motion Regarding Plaintiff Headwater Research LLC's Standing to bring this suit. **Dkt. No. 184.**

A substantively identical motion was filed in a parallel litigation: *Headwater Research LLC v. Verizon Communications Inc., et al*, 2:23-cv-00352-JRG-RSP. See Dkt. No. 189 in 2:23-cv-00352.

For the reasons discussed in the Court's ruling on the parallel motion (Dkt. No. 292 in 2:23-cv-00352), the Court finds that Headwater has standing to bring this action and that, therefore, Defendants' Motion should be **DENIED**.

A party's failure to file written objections to the findings, conclusions and recommendations within 14 days bars that party from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except on grounds of plain error, from appellate review of unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2); see also *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*). Any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be

filed in ECF under the event “Objection to Report and Recommendation [cv, respoth]” or it may not be considered by the District Judge.

SIGNED this 24th day of June, 2025.



ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE